

**REMARKS****Claim Objections**

Claims 20-23 were objected to because the phrase "a dynamic read only memory capacitor" appears in (claim 20 and claim 22, lines 1-2) and "dynamic read only memory" appears in (claim 20, lines 5-6, claim 22, lines 5-6 and claim 23, line 2-3). Applicant has amended claims 20, 22, and 23 to correct these typographical errors.

**Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112**

Claims 14 and 18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that applicant regards as the invention.

**Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102**

Claims 1-6 and 8-15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by *Yamauchi* (U.S. Patent No. 6,009,011).

Claims 1 – 19 have been canceled without prejudice.

**Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103**

Claims 7 and 16-19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yamauchi* (U.S. Patent No. 6,009,011) in view of *Jiang et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,867,405) and *Pickett* (U.S. Patent No. 6,175,908).

**Allowable Subject Matter**


Claims 20-23 were allowed. New claims 24 – 30 have been added to more thoroughly claim the subject matter of the present invention. Independent claims 24 and 28 are system and device claims, respectively, that include the limitations of allowed independent claims 20 and 22.

**CONCLUSION**

For the above-cited reasons, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner allow the claims of the present application. If the Examiner has any questions or concerns regarding this application, please contact the undersigned at (612) 312-2211. No new matter has been added and no additional fee is required by this amendment and response.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

4/7/05

Kenneth W. Bolvin

Reg. No. 34,125

Attorneys for Applicant  
Leffert Jay & Polglaze  
P.O. Box 581009  
Minneapolis, MN 55458-1009  
T 612 312-2200  
F 612 312-2250